



THE PLANNING ACT 2008

THE INFRASTRUCTURE PLANNING (EXAMINATION PROCEDURE) RULES

2010

The Sizewell C Project

**Natural England's Response to The Examining Authority's note on agenda item 5a of  
Issue Specific Hearing 10 on Biodiversity and Ecology and item 5b**

Planning Inspectorate Reference: EN010012

---

7<sup>th</sup> September 2021

**Natural England's Response to The Examining Authority's note on agenda item 5a of Issue Specific Hearing 10 on Biodiversity and Ecology and item 5b**

1. Natural England have filled out the table below provided by the Examining Authority at their request. However, we are unsure how these specific sites, qualifying features and impact pathways were selected.
  - 1.1. We advise that a comprehensive list of European sites, qualifying features, and impact pathways that we have concerns about can be found in section 3.19 of our Written Representations [REP2-153] and section 2.4.3 of our Relevant Representations [RR-0878].
  - 1.2. Each impact pathway listed is further discussed in detail in Part II of our Relevant and Written Representations [RR-0878] & [REP2-153] in addition to ongoing engagement through our Statement of Common Ground with the Applicant.
2. Natural England advises that our assessment of Likely Significant Effects (LSE) for the sites listed in the below table only indicate which issues we believe should be taken through to an Appropriate Assessment.
3. We have been engaging with the applicant on the majority of these issues for a number of years. For most of these issues the applicant has agreed that there is a pathway for LSE and brought these issues forward to the appropriate assessment stage in their Shadow HRA.
4. While we refer to our Written Representations [REP2-153] in the below table for further detailed advice, our current position on the issues listed will be provided in our latest Statement of Common Ground with the Applicant once submitted by the Applicant to the Examination.

**5. Examining Authority's completed table to answer ExA 5a Q1:**

| <b>European site</b>            | <b>Qualifying feature</b>  | <b>Potential impact</b>  | <b>Applicant/IP current position regarding LSE</b>   |
|---------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Alde-Ore and Butley Estuary SAC | Mudflats and sandflats not covered by seawater at low tide         | Recreational pressure  | LSE from damage to notified habitats associated with increased recreational disturbance e.g. trampling (Main Development Site (MDS) issue).<br><br>See issue 29 in Part II of our Written Representations.                               |
|                                 | Atlantic salt meadows ( <i>Glauco-Puccinellietalia maritimae</i> ) | Recreational pressure  | LSE from damage to notified habitats associated with increased recreational disturbance e.g. trampling (Main Development Site (MDS) issue).<br><br>See issue 29 in Part II on Written Representations.                                   |
|                                 | All qualifying features  | Damage from water use/ abstraction                             | LSE from damage to notified habitats from water use/abstraction (and/or associated works e.g. pipelines) for use during construction/operation (project-wide issue).<br><br>See issue 3 in Part II of our Written Representations.       |
| Alde-Ore Estuary SPA            | Sandwich tern  | Water quality impacts from drilling mud and bentonite breakout | LSE from direct exposure of foraging birds to changes in marine water quality, temperature and turbidity, arising from the intakes and outfalls, CDO and drilling chemical discharges.<br><br>See issues 30-35 in Part II of our Written |

|  |                          |                                    |  |
|--|--------------------------|------------------------------------|--|
|  |                          |                                    | Representations for further detailed advice.   |
|  | Little tern              |                                    | <p>LSE from direct exposure of foraging birds to changes in marine water quality, temperature and turbidity, arising from the intakes and outfalls, CDO and drilling chemical discharges.</p> <p>See issues 30-35 in Part II of our Written Representations for further detailed advice.</p> |
|  | Lesser black-backed gull |                                    | <p>LSE from direct exposure of foraging birds to changes in marine water quality, temperature and turbidity, arising from the intakes and outfalls, CDO and drilling chemical discharges.</p> <p>See issues 30-35 in Part II of our Written Representations for further detailed advice.</p> |
|  | All qualifying features  | Damage from water use/ abstraction | <p>LSE from damage to notified habitats from water use/abstraction (and/or associated works e.g. pipelines) for use during construction/operation (project-wide issue).</p> <p>See issue 3 in Part II of our Written Representations for further detailed advice.</p>                        |
|  | Not specified*           | Collision risk                     | LSE from physical interaction, collision risk and electrocution of notable breeding and wintering birds with pylons.   |

|                               |                         |  |  |
|-------------------------------|-------------------------|--|--|
|                               |                         |  | See issue 7 in Part II of our Written Representations for further detailed advice.   |
| Alde-Ore Estuary Ramsar       | Little tern             | Water quality impacts from drilling mud and bentonite breakout | <p>LSE from direct exposure of foraging birds to changes in marine water quality, temperature and turbidity, arising from the intakes and outfalls, CDO and drilling chemical discharges.</p> <p>See issues 30-35 in Part II of our Written Representations for further detailed advice.</p> |
|                               | All qualifying features | Damage from water use/ abstraction                             | <p>LSE from damage to notified habitats from water use/abstraction (and/or associated works e.g. pipelines) for use during construction/operation (project-wide issue).</p> <p>See issue 3 in Part II of our Written Representations for further detailed advice.</p>                        |
| Benacre to Easton Bevants SPA | Bittern                 | Noise, light and visual disturbance                            | <p>LSE from noise, light and visual disturbance of birds which utilise the MDS as functionally linked land (MDS issue).</p> <p>See issue 27 in Part II of our Written Representations for further detailed advice.</p>   |
|                               | Little tern             |  | <p>LSE from noise, light and visual disturbance of birds which utilise the MDS as functionally linked land (MDS issue).</p> <p>See issue 27 in Part II of our Written</p>  |

|  |                         |                                    |  |
|--|-------------------------|------------------------------------|--|
|  |                         |                                    | Representations for further detailed advice.   |
|  | Marsh harrier           |                                    | <p>LSE from noise, light and visual disturbance of birds which utilise the MDS as functionally linked land (MDS issue).</p> <p>See issue 27 in Part II of our Written Representations for further detailed advice.</p>   |
| Humber Estuary SAC                             | Sea lamprey             | Water quality impacts              | <p>LSE from Impacts to lamprey from changes in marine water quality, temperature and turbidity, arising from the intakes and outfalls, CDO and drilling chemical discharges, may have on migratory paths.</p> <p>See issues 30-35 in Part II of our Written Representations for further detailed advice.</p> |
|  | River lamprey           | Water quality impacts              | <p>LSE from Impacts to lamprey from changes in marine water quality, temperature and turbidity, arising from the intakes and outfalls, CDO and drilling chemical discharges, may have on migratory paths.</p> <p>See issues 30-35 in Part II of our Written Representations for further detailed advice.</p> |
| Minsmere to Walberswick Heaths and Marshes SAC | All qualifying features | Damage from water use/ abstraction | LSE from damage to notified habitats from water use/abstraction (and/or associated works e.g. pipelines) for use during construction/operation (project-wide issue).   |

|                          |                         |  |  |
|--------------------------|-------------------------|--|--|
|                          |                         |  | See issue 3 in Part II of our Written Representations for further detailed advice.   |
|                          | European dry heaths     | Alteration of coastal processes/sediment transport             | <p>No LSE.</p> <p>However, we do believe there is an LSE to Annual vegetation of drift lines &amp; Perennial vegetation of stony banks for this impact pathway.</p> <p>See section 3.19 of our Written Representations [REP2-153] for further detailed advice.</p>                           |
| Minsmere–Walberswick SPA | Little tern             | Water quality impacts from drilling mud and bentonite breakout | <p>LSE from direct exposure of foraging birds to changes in marine water quality, temperature and turbidity, arising from the intakes and outfalls, CDO and drilling chemical discharges.</p> <p>See issues 30-35 in Part II of our Written Representations for further detailed advice.</p> |
|                          | All qualifying features | Damage from water use/ abstraction                             | <p>LSE from damage to notified habitats from water use/abstraction (and/or associated works e.g. pipelines) for use during construction/operation (project-wide issue).</p> <p>See issue 3 in Part II of our Written Representations for further detailed advice.</p>                        |
|                          | Not specified*          | Collision risk   | LSE from physical interaction, collision risk and electrocution of notable breeding and  |

|                             |                         |  |  |
|-----------------------------|-------------------------|--|--|
|                             |                         |  | <p>wintering birds with pylons.</p> <p>See issue 7 in Part II of our Written Representations for further detailed advice.</p>  |
| Minsmere–Walberswick Ramsar | Little tern             | Water quality impacts from drilling mud and bentonite breakout | <p>LSE from direct exposure of foraging birds to changes in marine water quality, temperature and turbidity, arising from the intakes and outfalls, CDO and drilling chemical discharges.</p> <p>See issues 30-35 in Part II of our Written Representations for further detailed advice.</p>   |
|                             | All qualifying features | Damage from water use/ abstraction                             | <p>LSE from damage to notified habitats from water use/abstraction (and/or associated works e.g. pipelines) for use during construction/operation (project-wide issue).</p> <p>See issue 3 in Part II of our Written Representations for further detailed advice.</p>  |
| Outer Thames Estuary SPA    | Little tern             | Recreational disturbance                                       | <p>LSE from Impacts on birds and their supporting habitats associated with increased recreational pressure from Sizewell C workers and displaced locals during construction e.g. trampling of nests/habitat, direct disturbance of birds by walkers, dogs, bikes etc. (MDS issue).</p> <p>See issue 29 in Part II of our Written</p> |



|                                  |                         |  |  |
|----------------------------------|-------------------------|--|--|
|                                  |                         |  | Representations for further detailed advice.   |
|                                  | Little tern             | Water quality impacts from drilling mud and bentonite breakout | <p>LSE from direct exposure of foraging birds to changes in marine water quality, temperature and turbidity, arising from the intakes and outfalls, CDO and drilling chemical discharges.</p> <p>See issues 30-35 in Part II of our Written Representations for further detailed advice.</p> |
|                                  | Common tern             |  | <p>LSE from direct exposure of foraging birds to changes in marine water quality, temperature and turbidity, arising from the intakes and outfalls, CDO and drilling chemical discharges.</p> <p>See issues 30-35 in Part II of our Written Representations for further detailed advice.</p> |
|                                  | Red-throated diver      |  | <p>LSE from direct exposure of foraging birds to changes in marine water quality, temperature and turbidity, arising from the intakes and outfalls, CDO and drilling chemical discharges.</p> <p>See issues 30-35 in Part II of our Written Representations for further detailed advice.</p> |
|                                  | All qualifying features | Habitat loss and fragmentation                                 | No LSE   |
|                                  | Not specified*          | Collision risk   | No LSE   |
| Plymouth Sound and Estuaries SAC | Allis shad              | Impingement  | <p>No LSE</p> <p>We welcome that the Applicant has recognised</p>  |

|  |  |                    |   |
|--|--|--------------------|---|
|  |  |                    | Plymouth Sound and Estuaries SAC as part of the baseline, but we don't believe there is a pathway to LSE for this site.   |
| Staverton Park and the Thicks, Wantisden SAC | Old acidophilous oak woods with <i>Quercus robur</i> on sandy plains | Airborne pollution | LSE had been predicted and brought forward to appropriate assessment where the applicant has provided sufficient evidence that of No Adverse Effect on Integrity.<br><br>See issue 5 in Part II of our Written Representations for further detailed advice. |

## 6. Agenda Item 5b

6.1. Question 5b requests Natural England to set out in writing *“those European sites, and specifically which qualifying features, they still have concerns about with regards to the ability to conclude no adverse effects on integrity (AEoI).”*

6.2. We direct the Examining Authority to Part I of our Written Representations [RR-0878] which includes a full list of sites, features, and impact pathways which the Applicant has not provided sufficient evidence for us to agree with their conclusion of No AEoI.

6.2.1. One issue in this table has progressed since our Written representations: Issue 8 - Impediment to Management Practices. The applicant has now provided sufficient evidence to ensure any impact can be adequately mitigated to avoid an AEoI.

7. We continue to engage with the applicant through issue specific meetings and updating our Statement of Common Ground with the Applicant to reflect any progress.
8. We will make best endeavours to respond to the remaining questions asked of us in response to ISH10 in writing as soon as possible.